Council DA reference number	Lot number	DP number	Apartment/ Unit number	Street number	Street name	Suburb/Town	Postcode	Category of development	Environmental planning instrument	Zoning of land	Development standard to be varied	Justification of variation	Extent of variation	Concurring authority	Date DA determined dd/mm/yyyy
DA-2021/561	PT1	576678		1453	Botany Road	Botany	2019	9: Mixed	Bayside LEP 2021	B2	Buildings	There are sufficient environmental planning grounds to support the variation. The height of the building is commensurate with recent approvals on immediately adjoining sites to the north. The height breach is mainly to the lift overrun and roof top pergola. The breach to a portion of the roof slab at the rear and the roof parapet are of a lesser percentage. There are no unreasonable impacts to neighbours and the proposal provides employment opportunities. The building is of a high quality.		Council	27/09/2022

DA 0004/000		140705	40	L 1 0	D	1 0000	A. Davidandal Ali e. C. 180	I possible use	I 50	044 505		407	1 0 "	7/44/0000
DA-2021/636	В	412725	10	Isaac Smith Street	Daceyville	2032	1: Residential - Alterations & additions	Bayside LEP 2021	R2	S4.4 - FSR	There are sufficient	1%	Council	7/11/2022
								2021			environmental			
											planning grounds to			
											support the			
											variation. The			
											proposal complies			
											with building height			
											and is consistent			
											with the objectives			
											of the R2 zone,			
											floor space ratio			
											standard. The			
											proposal complies			
											with the controls of			
											BBDCP2013			
											including			
											landscaped area,			
											private open space,			
											visual privacy,			
											private open space,			
											setbacks,			
											streetscape and			
											site coverage			
											despite the			
											numerical variation.			
											The proposed			
											variation by 1% is			
											considered minor			
											and the built form			
											continues to be			
											consistent with the			
											bulk and scale of			
											other first floor			
											additions on Isaac			
											Smith Street. The			
											non-compliance is			
											not evident in its			
											visual appearance.			
											The proposed			
											building responds			
											to the constraints of			
											the site and			
											irregular lot shape.			
											Compliance with			
											the standard is			
											considered			
											unreasonable and			
											unnecessary in the			
											circumstances of			
											the case.			
				l				1					1	